# Plaintiffs' Exhibit A

#### ELIZABETH ANN HOGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----

SIERRA BOUCHER, LILY ENGEBRECHT, NATASSIA TUHOVAK, HANNAH WHELAN, AND CASSIDY WOOD,

Plaintiffs,

- against - Case No. 1:22-cv-00381-CCR

TRUSTEES OF CANISIUS COLLEGE,

Defendant.

Examination before trial of **ELIZABETH ANN HOGAN**, taken pursuant to Subpoena, in the offices of JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC., 1120 Liberty

Building, Buffalo, New York, on June 19, 2024, commencing at 9:23 a.m., before LYNNE E. DIMARCO, Notary Public.

		Elizabeth Ann Hogan - Ms. Nanau - 06/19/2024 5
09:24:50	1	The following was marked for Identification:
	2	PLAINTIFF EXH. 12 Subpoena
09:24:50	3	BY MS. NANAU:
09:24:53	4	Q. Is this the Subpoena that you were
09:24:55	5	provided before today?
09:24:58	6	A. Yes.
09:24:58	7	Q. Okay. And the Subpoena requests the
09:25:03	8	production of documents, including any notes or any
09:25:07	9	other information regarding complaints about
09:25:11	10	Dr. Michael Noonan; do you see that?
09:25:14	11	A. Yes.
09:25:14	12	Q. Have you provided any notes that you
09:25:18	13	have in your possession to counsel?
09:25:19	14	A. I have, yes.
09:25:19	15	Q. I'm going to provide you with a witness
09:25:23	16	fee, which was not provided at the same time as the
09:25:24	17	Subpoena, and that is for you, Dr. Hogan.
09:25:27	18	A. Okay.
09:25:28	19	Q. Okay. So what is your position at
09:25:36	20	Canisius College or University rather?
09:25:39	21	A. My position is associate professor in
09:25:43	22	the biology department.
09:25:44	23	Q. Okay. Are you chair of the department

6

09:25:46 1 now?

5

6

7

8

9

09:25:50

09:25:50

09:25:55

09:26:00

09:26:04

09:26:08 10

09:26:10 11

09:26:12 12

09:26:18 13

09:26:22 14

09:26:27 15

09:26:27 16

09:26:32 17

09:26:36 18

09:26:40 19

09:25:46 2 **A.** No.

09:25:47 3 Q. Okay. Was there a time when you were 09:25:49 4 chair of the department?

A. Yes.

Q. And when was that?

A. So that began in I believe it was

March 2016 after our chair at that time died

suddenly. And then I became chair along with my

colleague Bob Grebenok.

So that began I believe it was in March 2016. And then for the next three years 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and then in 2018 Bob Grebenok stepped down as chair so I was the only chair from 2018 to 2019.

- Q. Okay. What is the biology department's relationship to the ABEC department?
- A. So originally the ABEC department was part of the biology department, I don't remember the exact date of when that happened, but Mike Noonan and along with Sarah Morris in our department were sort of instrumental in starting this program.

09:26:43 20 09:26:46 21 09:26:51 22

09:26:54 23

And then as always was the plan as they started to hire more faculty, they then separated from the biology department.

- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that in 2019 you didn't have any supervisory role over Dr. Noonan then?
- A. In 2019 he was still a member of the biology department, so he was a member of both ABEC and biology, which is unusual, but that's the way it was set up. So I did have a supervisory role in that aspect.
- Q. Got it. How long have you been teaching at Canisius?
  - A. I began in 1999.
- Q. And have you always been in the biology department?
  - A. Yes.

09:26:55

09:26:58

09:27:02

09:27:04

09:27:07

09:27:12

09:27:13

09:27:16

09:27:19

09:27:23 10

09:27:28 11

09:27:29 12

09:27:34 13

09:27:37 14

09:27:40 15

09:27:43 16

09:27:43 17

09:27:44 18

09:27:49 19

09:27:56 20

09:27:56 21

09:27:56 22

09:27:59 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

- Q. Okay. When you started first working at Canisius, was Dr. Noonan part of the biology department?
  - A. He was.
- Q. Okay. My understanding is that previously he was a faculty member in the

09:28:02 1 psychology department; is that true?

- A. That's my understanding as well, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding about why he stopped being a psychology department faculty member and became a biology faculty member?
- A. I don't, I believe a lot of that happened before I was -- before I started or when I wasn't really that involved in the situation.

So I really I believe there was disagreements between his philosophies and others in the department. I believe there was mediation is what I've heard indirectly from colleagues that are in the psychology department, but I don't know any of the details.

- Q. Okay. Which administrators at Canisius College would know about Dr. Noonan's transfer from the psychology department to biology?
- A. I'm trying to think who was dean at that point. We've gone through so many deans. The chairs of the psychology department are now retired. Harvey Pines I believe was the chair at that point.
  - Q. Okay. Who was the chair of the biology

09:28:24 09:28:27 9 09:28:30 10 09:28:35 11 09:28:37 12 09:28:41 13 09:28:44 14 09:28:46 15 09:28:53 16 09:28:57 17 09:29:01 18 09:29:04 19 09:29:10 20 09:29:13 21 09:29:16 22

09:29:16 23

09:28:04

09:28:06

09:28:08

09:28:13

09:28:16

09:28:18

2

3

4

5

6

9

09:29:24 1 department at that time, do you know?

- O9:29:26 2 A. Yes, Paula Den, and she's no longer
  O9:29:30 3 with the college.
  - Q. Okay. Did you ever have an opportunity to observe Dr. Noonan in class?
    - A. No.

09:29:31

09:29:38

09:29:46

09:29:47

09:29:53

09:29:54

09:29:55 10

09:30:03 11

09:30:08 12

09:30:09 13

09:30:10 14

09:30:14 15

09:30:18 16

09:30:25 17

09:30:29 18

09:30:33 19

09:30:36 20

09:30:40 21

09:30:44 22

09:30:47 23

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Okay. Did you ever go on any trips with Dr. Noonan?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. As a member of the biology department faculty, did you ever review Dr. Noonan's performance?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when would you do that, would you do it on a cyclical basis or some other time?
- A. Yeah, so at that -- so the things have changed over the years how we do reviews, but initially every year faculty were required to submit an annual report documenting their scholarship, their teaching and any service.

The format of that report has changed as deans have come and gone, but initially every year every faculty was required to submit a report.

09:30:50	1	And then at the department level in my in
09:30:55	2	the biology department there's a committee called
09:30:58	3	the FEC, Faculty Evaluation Committee, that is made
09:31:03	4	up of tenured faculty. And they will review
09:31:06	5	everybody's report and evaluate and usually submit
09:31:12	6	a one to two page summary evaluation of the report.
09:31:17	7	And then from there it would go to the dean.
09:31:21	8	And so as a member of the Faculty Evaluation
09:31:25	9	Committee irregardless of whether I was chair or
09:31:28	10	not, that would be the times when I would be able
09:31:33	11	to evaluate an annual report that Mike submitted.
09:31:36	12	Q. Okay. To your knowledge, was
09:31:38	13	Dr. Noonan ever exempt from any annual report
09:31:43	14	documentation requirements?
09:31:49	15	A. As far as I can recall when I was on
09:31:51	16	the FEC, he always submitted a report.
09:31:54	17	Q. When did you serve on the FEC?
09:31:57	18	A. Well, it would not have been until I
09:32:01	19	had tenure.
09:32:02	20	Q. Okay. When did you get tenure?
09:32:04	21	A. I should know this, I think 2006.
09:32:08	22	Q. Okay.
09:32:10	23	A. And then we sort of rotate through, so

09:40:32 1 this time period?

09:40:41

09:40:44

09:40:46

09:40:48

09:40:51

09:40:56 10

09:40:59 11

09:41:00 12

09:41:04 13

09:41:07 14

09:41:09 15

09:41:13 16

09:41:16 17

09:41:20 18

09:41:32 19

09:41:33 20

09:41:34 21

09:41:36 22

09:41:38 23

5

6

8

9

- O9:40:34 2 A. I believe at that point they were
  O9:40:36 3 submitted electronically, so, yes, he would have
  O9:40:40 4 submitted a report.
  - Q. Okay. Do you have copies of that in your possession?
    - A. In my possession right now?
  - Q. Well, no, not right now, but in your capacity as a former or current FEC member for the biology department, do you retain those records?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Because I don't have it. So I'm just wondering, you know, what is the basis for this report?
    - A. Right.
  - Q. When a faculty member like Dr. Noonan provides their annual or now every three years evaluation report, what does it consist of?
    - A. At that time period?
    - Q. Yes, 2016.
    - A. It has changed since then.
  - Q. Got it. So, yeah, I'm interested in the 2016-2017 time period.

- A. Typically there's three sections.
- 41:40 2 **Q.** Okay.
  - A. And you're supposed to summarize any changes that you made to the courses that you taught during that process, comment on any student feedback and also comment on any changes that you plan to instill the next time you teach the class based on feedback.

So one section is teaching, the second section is scholarship where typically the faculty member will discuss the basis of their research, any outcomes, whether it be presentation or paper published.

And then the third section is service. And so usually that's just a bullets of all the committees that they've served on either within the department, campus wide, or if they are doing outside service.

Q. Okay. I took the deposition of
Dr. Joshua Russell yesterday who is a member of the
ABEC department. And Dr. Russell told me that
obtaining student feedback is optional for
professors; is that true?

2 09:41:40 09:41:43 3 09:41:46 4 09:41:48 5 09:41:52 6 09:41:59 09:42:04 8 9 09:42:06 09:42:08 10 09:42:12 11 09:42:16 12 09:42:19 13 09:42:19 14 09:42:22 15 09:42:26 16 09:42:30 17 09:42:33 18 09:42:35 19

09:42:41 20

09:42:44 21

09:42:50 22

09:42:56 23

09:41:40

1

1	Q. Now, not hypothetically, did you ever
2	direct a student to the biology department chair
3	where a student raised a concern with you about
4	Dr. Noonan; do you recall that?
5	A. I don't recall.
6	Q. Okay. So can you tell me when is the
7	first time a student came to you with concerns
8	about Dr. Noonan?
9	A. Yes, it was after a faculty member in
10	my department raised concerns about a student and
11	her interactions with Dr. Noonan.
12	That student I told the faculty members
13	to tell the student to make an appointment to see
14	me so we could discuss the situation, so that would
15	be January 2019.
16	Q. Okay. So who was the faculty member
17	that brought this concern of the students to your
18	attention?
19	A. Dr. Daniel Hauser.
20	Q. So what did Dr. Hauser tell you?
21	A. He told me that he was concerned about
22	a student in his lab who was doing a research
23	project that was involving both Dr. Noonan and
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

09:59:39 1 himself.

2

3

4

5

6

9

09:59:40

09:59:46

09:59:50

09:59:55

09:59:56

10:00:00

10:00:04

10:00:06

10:00:08 10

10:00:10 11

10:00:17 12

10:00:18 13

10:00:19 14

10:00:25 15

10:00:29 16

10:00:29 17

10:00:36 20

10:00:41 21

10:00:43 22

10:01:15 23

And that the student seemed very upset about a conversation she had with Dr. Noonan and was ready to quit research because of this stress that she felt.

- Q. Did Dr. Hauser provide you anymore specific information about the nature of the conversations with Dr. Noonan that made her so upset?
  - A. He did not.
- Q. Okay. So ultimately the student, did she come to meet with you?
  - A. Yes.
- MS. NANAU: Okay. I'm going to show you what we are going to mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.
- The following was marked for Identification:
- PLAINTIFF EXH. 15 E-mail Chain Bates stamped

  Canisius 1519 to 1520

#### BY MS. NANAU:

Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 is a two-page document, the Bates stamp is Canisius 1519 to 1520. So starting with the second page of this

exhibit -- or actually the bottom of the first page, there's an e-mail from the student to you dated January 24th, 2019, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And in the body of the e-mail, which is on the second page, the student reports to you that Dr. Hauser reached out to her and said that you would like to talk to her about the combined research with Dr. Hauser and Dr. Noonan, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And did such a meeting take place?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And who was at the meeting besides you and the student?
  - A. No one else.
  - Q. Did you take notes during that meeting?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. Okay. What did the student tell you?
- A. She explained why she decided to stop doing research with Dr. Noonan. She described conversations that she had with Dr. Noonan that left her in tears.

10:01:54 14

10:01:18

10:01:22

10:01:25

10:01:28

10:01:29

10:01:33

10:01:38

10:01:42

10:01:46

10:01:49 10

10:01:49 11

10:01:49 12

10:01:53 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

- 10.01.01
- 10:01:56 15
- 10:02:00 16
- 10:02:02 17
- 10:02:05 18
- 10:02:05 19
- 10:02:13 20
- 10:02:18 21
- 10:02:24 22
- 10:02:26 23

10:02:30	1	She described that it was a very stressful
10:02:33	2	situation and she thought it was best to cut ties
10:02:40	3	with him and that it would be unfair for her to
10:02:46	4	continue.
10:02:46	5	Because we discussed the option of her doing
10:02:49	6	research solely with Dr. Hauser without any contact
10:02:54	7	with Dr. Noonan, but she felt that would be unfair
10:02:59	8	to Dr. Hauser. So she felt her best option was to
10:03:03	9	walk away from the project.
10:03:05	10	Q. Did the student raise any concerns with
10:03:09	11	you about, you know, the impact on her educational
10:03:14	12	life with this decision to cut ties and walk away
10:03:19	13	from the project?
10:03:20	14	A. Yeah.
10:03:21	15	Q. What did she say?
10:03:23	16	A. She had intended to go to vet school
10:03:27	17	and she felt that the stress of the situation that
10:03:32	18	she's been in at Canisius and working with
10:03:35	19	Dr. Noonan had made her re-think that of trying to
10:03:41	20	go on with another four years of school at that
10:03:45	21	point.
10:03:47	22	Q. Okay. Is there anything else that the

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

10:03:53 23 student said to you during the meeting that you had

1 with her following this January 24th, 2019 e-mail?

- A. I'm just trying to recall what I wrote down in my notes.
- Q. Yeah, well, let's -- if you -- this is not like a test of memory.
  - A. Yeah.

10:03:56

10:04:08

10:04:12

10:04:14

10:04:16

10:04:16

10:04:18

10:04:21

10:04:25

10:04:26 10

10:04:29 11

10:04:29 12

10:04:29 15

10:04:33 16

10:04:34 17

10:04:38 18

10:04:41 19

10:04:48 20

10:04:51 21

10:04:59 22

10:05:03 23

2

3

4

5

6

9

13

14

Q. Although I'm not really sure if these are your notes or not, so you're going to have to tell me.

So we're going to mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 16.

The following was marked for Identification:

PLAINTIFF EXH. 16 Notes - Bates stamped

Canisius 569 to 598

THE WITNESS: Yes, these are my notes.

#### BY MS. NANAU:

- Q. I'm glad I intuited that this was your handwriting. So this document was produced by defendant, the Bates stamp range is Canisius 569 to 598.
- So these are your notes. So I'm going to let you tell me what we're looking at on the first page.

40

- 10:10:39 1 **A.** Correct.
  - Q. Okay. The student told you in addition to the fact that she was no longer going to veterinarian school that she was worried that Dr. Noonan would have a negative impact on her application?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you tell me a little bit more about that concern of the student. What exactly was the concern that she wouldn't get a recommendation or that he would write something that wasn't positive for her?
  - A. As best as I can recall, she felt that because she terminated her research project with Dr. Noonan he would not write a letter of recommendation for her for vet school.

She also seemed to be under the impression that he had many contacts at vet school, so even if she didn't ask him to write a letter of recommendation, he would be able to influence that process.

Q. Did you ask the student where she got that understanding about Dr. Noonan's influence at

10:11:04 7

2

3

4

5

6

10:10:39

10:10:48

10:10:52

10:10:58

10:11:03

- 10:11:06 8 10:11:08 9
- 10:11:12 10
- 10:11:15 11
- 10:11:18 12
- 10:11:20 13
- 10:11:24 14
- 10:11:27 15
- 10:11:31 16
- 10:11:34 17
- 10:11:37 18
- 10:11:42 19
- 10:11:44 20
- 10:11:47 21
- 10:11:52 22
- 10:11:55 23

committee in a respectful way as you observed?

- A. Yeah, because I was part of the executive committee at that point. There was another female that was vice president from the chemistry department. But, yes, I had no perceptions of him being disrespectful to anybody on the committee.
- Q. Okay. So getting back to the January 25th meeting, how did you leave it with the student at the end of the meeting, what were next steps?
- A. So I was clearly alarmed at the details she was revealing to me at that point. I understood that this was a situation that required me reporting it to -- at that point we only had one Title IX officer, so I let her know that I would be reporting this.

And I just went through with her the different options. I said that I'm obligated to report this without using your name, but I have to report what you said to the Title IX officer, but I went through some of the other options she could have.

10:20:10 16
10:20:16 17
10:20:17 18
10:20:21 19
10:20:26 20
10:20:29 21
10:20:33 22

10:20:35 23

10:19:14

10:19:19

10:19:21

10:19:24

10:19:29

10:19:31

10:19:36

10:19:36

10:19:42

10:19:50 10

10:19:54 11

10:19:54 12

10:19:59 13

10:20:02 14

10:20:05 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

She could meet directly with the Title IX officer, I believe there's also an online anonymous one that she could submit a complaint as well.

So I went through all of those options, yeah.

- Q. Okay. During the course of this meeting, this student also informed you that there was another woman on the team who felt similarly about Dr. Noonan and wanted to come forward, correct?
  - A. Yes.

10:20:36

10:20:38

10:20:43

10:20:49

10:20:55

10:20:55

10:20:57

10:21:02

10:21:06

10:21:08 10

10:21:08 11

10:21:10 12

10:21:15 13

10:21:15 14

10:21:19 15

10:21:21 16

10:21:22 17

10:21:25 18

10:21:27 19

10:21:34 20

10:21:38 21

10:21:43 22

10:21:48 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. And that student was Natassia Tuhovak, correct?
- A. I believe so. The name is blocked out here, but I believe that was Natassia.
- Q. Okay. Did there ever come a time where you met with Natassia?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So I'm turning now to the next page, Canisius573. And before I ask you any questions, I just want to make sure your meeting, it seems like there are a couple memorialized on this page, but maybe not.

#### BY MS. NANAU:

10:29:02

10:29:02

10:29:04

10:29:06

10:29:06

10:29:09

10:29:09

10:29:12

10:29:16

10:29:22 10

10:29:25 11

10:29:28 12

10:29:34 13

10:29:37 14

10:29:43 15

10:29:47 16

10:29:47 17

10:29:50 18

10:29:52 19

10:29:54 20

10:29:56 21

10:29:58 22

10:30:02 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Okay. That's helpful. I'm
  wondering --
- MR. D'ANTONIO: That's how I read it, but you should talk to Liz obviously, I wasn't there.

#### BY MS. NANAU:

- Q. Yeah. Okay. So prior to this meeting with Ms. Walleshauser regarding Natassia Tuhovak's concerns and the initial student, did you have any conversations with anyone else at Canisius about these concerns that were being raised regarding Dr. Noonan?
- A. So I believe that after I first met with the student's name who was redacted, I called Linda Walleshauser to discuss the situation.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Or sent an e-mail, something.
- Q. Okay. So you had a conversation with Ms. Walleshauser. And what did you convey to her during that initial conversation?
- A. Just repeated the information that the student conveyed to me and what -- again, we just reiterated the options that she had. She

encouraged the student to come forward and meet with her.

- Q. Okay. And the information that you got from Ms. Walleshauser is essentially memorialized in Plaintiff's 15, she could either meet with Walleshauser, alternatively she could use Callisto to report it, correct?
  - A. Correct.

10:30:05

10:30:08

10:30:10

10:30:13

10:30:16

10:30:20

10:30:24

10:30:25

10:30:26

10:30:32 10

10:30:34 11

10:30:37 12

10:30:42 13

10:30:47 14

10:30:50 15

10:30:52 16

10:30:55 17

10:30:57 18

10:30:57 19

10:31:00 20

10:31:02 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Okay. Did there ever come a time when you met with anyone else to discuss the situation, other than Ms. Walleshauser before this February 6th meeting?
- A. Yeah, so I have here in my notes that Sue Margulis, Dr. Margulis and I met with the dean.
  - Q. Where are you looking at now?
  - A. I'm looking at document 572.
  - Q. Okay. That's the last line?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And so who is the dean referenced here?
- 10:31:00 21 **A.** Dr. Schaver.
  - Q. Okay. So let's --
- 10:31:05 23 MR. **D'ANTONIO**: S-C-H-A-V-E-R.

10:31:14 1 MS. NANAU: We're up to 18? 2 MR. D'ANTONIO: Yes. 10:31:16 MS. NANAU: Thanks. So this is going to be 10:31:18 3 Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, Plaintiff's 18 is Bates 10:31:21 4 stamp Canisius 1522. 10:31:23 5 10:31:23 6 The following was marked for Identification: 7 PLAINTIFF EXH. 18 Bates stamped Canisius 1522 BY MS. NANAU: 10:31:23 8 10:31:46 9 So there are two e-mails on this 0. document. The one dated January 29th, 2019 at 4:46 10:31:48 10 10:32:00 11 is from Dr. Margulis. And she wrote, dear Linda, 10:32:05 12 Liz Hogan and I met with dean Peter Schaver this afternoon so he is up to speed on the issues we 10:32:09 13 10:32:12 14 discussed yesterday. He said he would be in touch 10:32:15 15 with you. And Ms. Walleshauser confirmed that she 10:32:18 16 had received her update, correct? 10:32:20 17 Correct. Α. MR. D'ANTONIO: Objection, form, her update. 10:32:21 18 10:32:23 19 I think it's Sue Margulis' update. MS. NANAU: She's thanking her for this 10:32:24 20 10:32:27 21 update. 10:32:28 22 MR. D'ANTONIO: Right, but you said your

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

update. I don't think it's hers.

10:32:30 23

56

#### 10:32:32 1 **BY MS. NANAU:**

10:32:33 2 Q. Okay. It's Dr. Margulis' update.

10:32:35 3 Thank you.

4

5

6

9

10:32:35

10:32:39

10:32:42

10:32:47

10:32:51

10:32:55

10:32:59 10

10:33:04 11

10:33:06 12

10:33:08 13

10:33:14 14

10:33:19 15

10:33:19 16

10:33:23 17

10:33:27 18

10:33:28 19

10:33:29 20

10:33:32 21

10:33:32 22

10:33:33 23

So what was discussed at this meeting with dean Schaver?

A. So we relayed to him the information.

At that point I had only met with the first student, relayed to him the situation of him being disrespectful to the students, the impact that he had on her in terms of the type of conversations so he was aware of that.

I believe at that point he may have suggested that either myself or Dr. Margulis should have a discussion directly with Mike Noonan about the situation.

- Q. Okay. And did anyone have a conversation with Dr. Noonan at that time?
  - A. Not that I can remember.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And can I just back up there for a second?
  - Q. Please.
  - A. So I think we were waiting to make sure

10:33:41	1	that Linda was involved in the situation before we
10:33:45	2	went further to see how it should be resolved.
10:33:48	3	Q. Okay. How did it come to be that
10:33:53	4	Dr. Margulis got involved in the situation?
10:33:56	5	A. I believe that after because she's
10:34:01	6	chair of the ABEC department at some point after I
10:34:05	7	met with the first student, I talked with her and
10:34:08	8	let her know what was going on.
10:34:10	9	Q. Okay. What was Dr. Margulis' reaction
10:34:15	10	to this information that you provided her with
10:34:19	11	regard to your meeting with the first student?
10:34:28	12	A. She was concerned. I believe that she
10:34:37	13	had heard other comments from perhaps students,
10:34:41	14	other students besides this one, but she was I
10:34:46	15	would say concerned.
10:34:47	16	Q. Did Dr. Margulis tell you that she had
10:34:52	17	received comments from other students expressing
10:34:54	18	concern about Dr. Noonan?
10:34:58	19	A. I'm not sure if it was at this meeting
10:35:02	20	or a subsequent meeting.
10:35:03	21	Q. Okay. Do you remember the sum and
10:35:05	22	substance of what she told you about those other

10:35:08 23 comments?

11:25:03 1 with.

2

3

4

5

6

9

11:25:04

11:25:06

11:25:10

11:25:14

11:25:19

11:25:22

11:25:24

11:25:29

11:25:32 10

11:25:35 11

11:25:38 12

11:25:41 13

11:25:45 14

11:25:48 15

11:25:51 16

11:25:55 17

11:26:07 18

11:26:14 19

11:26:16 20

11:26:17 21

11:26:21 22

11:26:26 23

Also, students were doing research with Dr. Noonan and that counted as credit, so we needed to figure out a way that they could continue to do research if Dr. Noonan were to leave Canisius.

So we've already talked about the class social organization of mammals, different options, can somebody else teach the class or another option was to have the students transfer into a different class if Dr. Noonan did not leave the institute, but I think everybody agreed that these students -- any student who filed a complaint or was part of this team should not be in the classroom with Dr. Noonan at the same time.

And then there's various options that were discussed, a complete suspension, a no contact clause. I believe we were also concerned that if there was a suspension that there may be some psychological effect on Dr. Noonan and that we should be aware of that.

- Q. What kind of psychological effect?
- A. Depression, you know, any -- that clearly would be devastating to him to be removed

11:26:29 1 from the college, so making sure that he had access
11:26:32 2 to counseling if need be.

11:26:38

11:26:41

11:26:45

11:26:47

11:26:53

11:26:59

11:27:02

11:27:07 10

11:27:10 11

11:27:15 12

11:27:21 13

11:27:24 14

11:27:27 15

11:27:29 16

11:27:33 17

11:27:37 18

11:27:40 19

11:27:44 20

11:27:51 21

11:27:54 22

11:27:58 23

3

4

5

6

7

9

Some of the questions that needed to be discerned I think is what I have listed next.

Question of whether or not there was any -- while the students were in India, was there any local health care facility that could have facilitated or helped them with their health issues.

I have something down here I believe it was a -- seems like it says these women have it out for me. So I don't know if that was a statement from Dr. Noonan that was said previously.

Then there's a question of whether there were any men on the trip.

- Q. And then you have notes process of suspension and then signed contract to give him medical, what does that mean?
- A. If he was to be suspended to ensure that he still had access to medical care if needed.
- Q. Okay. Were you discussing these issues with Dr. McCarthy because you were ultimately going to be part of the group of people making determinations regarding how Dr. Noonan would be

12:26:24 1 A. I believe this would have been a 12:26:26 2 meeting, yes.

MR. D'ANTONIO: Okay.

#### BY MS. NANAU:

- Q. Okay. On February 22nd, 2019 had

  Ms. Boucher to your knowledge already gone to

  Ms. Walleshauser to make a statement or had she

  not?
- A. I don't know. I wasn't given a list of the students who gave statements to Linda.
- MS. NANAU: Okay. I'm just going to show you this e-mail. This is going to be 12:26:58 13 Plaintiff's 23.

#### The following was marked for Identification:

## PLAINTIFF EXH. 23 Bates stamped Canisius 1660

#### 12:27:05 16 BY MS. NANAU:

12:26:28

12:26:28

12:26:29

12:26:33

12:26:36

12:26:40

12:26:42

12:26:46 10

12:26:58 14

12:27:40 21

12:27:41 22

12:27:44 23

15

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Plaintiff's 23 is a one-page document,
  the Bates stamp is Canisius 1660. So this is an
  e-mail from Ms. Walleshauser to you dated
  February 14th, 2019, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And she's reporting to you -- well, the subject is student meetings, correct?

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

121

122

12:27:47	1	A. Yes.
12:27:47	2	Q. And in this e-mail Ms. Walleshauser is
12:27:51	3	reporting to you the students she has met with this
12:27:56	4	week and there's a list of students, correct?
12:27:57	5	A. Correct.
12:28:00	6	Q. And I assume that this list of students
12:28:03	7	relates to students who went to her to make
12:28:06	8	statements about Dr. Noonan's misconduct, correct?
12:28:11	9	A. I assume that, yes.
12:28:12	10	Q. Okay. And then she reports to you two
12:28:16	11	anonymous bias reports filed specifically regarding
12:28:21	12	Dr. Noonan, both sophomores. Please let me know if
12:28:25	13	you have any questions. Thank you for all of your
12:28:28	14	assistance; did I read that correctly?
12:28:30	15	A. Correct.
12:28:31	16	Q. Did Ms. Walleshauser ever give you the
12:28:33	17	bias, the anonymous bias reports to review?
12:28:36	18	A. No.
12:28:37	19	Q. Okay. Do you know if Ms. Walleshauser
12:28:40	20	ever was able to determine who the students were
12:28:45	21	who filed the anonymous bias reports?
12:28:49	22	A. I don't know.
12:28:50	23	Q. Okay. Ms. Boucher is on the list of

12:28:58 students that Ms. Walleshauser reported she met 1 with on February 14th, 2019, correct? 12:29:02 2 12:29:05 3 Α. Correct. And so after this Ms. Boucher met with 12:29:06 4 0. you at some point or not at some point, on 12:29:10 5 12:29:12 6 February 22nd, 2019, correct? 12:29:15 Α. Correct. 12:29:15 8 Okay. And I'm wondering, do the notes reflect your recollection as to the purpose of the 12:29:23 9 12:29:26 10 meeting with Ms. Boucher? 12:29:30 11 MR. D'ANTONIO: Objection to form, you can 12:29:32 12 answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: I just need a moment to review 12:29:35 13 12:29:39 14 these. 12:29:39 15 BY MS. NANAU: 12:29:42 16 Q. Go ahead. 12:29:54 17 Α. Okay. 12:29:55 18 Q. So do the notes refresh your 12:29:57 19 recollection as to the purpose of the meeting with Ms. Boucher on February 22nd, 2019? 12:30:00 20 12:30:03 21 Α. Yes.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

Tell me what the purpose was.

She was concerned about not hearing

12:30:05 22

12:30:08 23

Q.

Α.

1 anything from Canisius about what was going on with 2 the investigation.

So I believe the investigation started -- Dr. Noonan's suspension began on 2/15 and they were not getting any updates and she was concerned about that.

- Q. Okay. So you have a note here out of the loop and then better communication, correct?
  - A. Correct.

12:30:12

12:30:19

12:30:20

12:30:25

12:30:28

12:30:32

12:30:33

12:30:38

12:30:40

12:30:41 10

12:30:45 11

12:30:49 12

12:30:50 13

12:30:56 14

12:31:00 15

12:31:01 16

12:31:05 17

12:31:08 18

12:31:08 19

12:31:12 20

12:31:17 21

12:31:20 22

12:31:23 23

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. And then in quotes you have legally unable to discuss output; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Is that what Ms. Boucher reported to you just because it's in quotes, so I'm wondering?
- A. Yeah, no, I believe that that was what she was told via somebody else in the investigation.
- Q. Okay. Did that bother Ms. Boucher, is that why she told you that, do you recall?
- A. My general impression is that they were concerned about not hearing any updates during that period when the investigation started and it ended.

1	Q. Okay. So Ms. Boucher expressed to you
2	her concern and the concern of the other students
3	who came forward to say that they were concerned
4	about the lack of communication, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And then it looks like you
7	discussed Project Tiger a little bit?
8	A. Right, I believe she gave me more
9	detail on what the intended outcome of the project
10	was. And as I said previously, I really had no
11	understanding of what this project was up to that
12	point.
13	Q. Because it was an ABEC department
14	project?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And so it looks like Ms. Boucher
17	explained to you that there was going to be two
18	documentaries?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And two video series; is that fair?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. And then it looks like under two
23	video series you wrote You Tube video 10, two
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

12:32:36 1 minutes and something else that I can't make out.
12:32:36 2 Can you tell me what that means, or what that says
12:32:36 3 rather?

- A. I think it says one month after graduation was when they were intending to complete that.
- Q. Okay. So at the outset they already knew that they couldn't complete all of this work before graduation?
- A. Yeah, I don't know if that was after these events occurred or if that was the plan ahead of time.
- Q. Got it. Then underneath the Project
  Tiger heading there is a heading mentorship. And
  then it says with plan Margulis mentorship, digital
  media, digital institute, correct?
  - A. Correct.

12:32:39

12:32:41

12:32:45

12:32:45

12:32:49

12:32:52

12:33:02 10

12:33:04 11

12:33:07 12

12:33:07 13

12:33:11 14

12:33:16 15

12:33:24 16

12:33:26 17

12:33:27 18

12:33:29 19

12:33:38 20

12:33:41 21

12:33:46 22

12:33:50 23

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Do you recall what was being discussed and why you took those notes?
- A. Well, I believe the idea was that

  Dr. Margulis being more familiar with the project

  would try to come up with some sort of plan to

  complete it.

12:39:17 her meeting pushed back to 3/24. So I believe this 1 2 is referring to the final meeting after the 12:39:22 investigation concluded where Mike was informed 12:39:28 3 that he was to -- well, that he was terminated, I 12:39:34 4 believe. 12:39:41 5 12:39:42 6 Q. So on March 4th, 2019 you already knew 12:39:46 that professor Noonan's employment with Canisius was going to be terminated? 12:39:49 8 No, I did not know that. I did not 12:39:51 9 Α. 12:39:54 10 know the outcome of the meeting at that point. 12:39:54 11 Q. Okay. 12:39:57 12 Or I had no contact with the investigation, but I believe that meeting is where 12:39:58 13 12:40:01 14 that may have occurred. 12:40:02 15 Q. Okay. And then the next point it reads concerned with lack of communication with students? 12:40:09 16 12:40:12 17 Yes. Α. And then the next point is -- could you 12:40:13 18 Q. 12:40:17 19 read that, please? Sure. Feels like the students aren't 12:40:18 20 Α. 12:40:21 21 protected.

JACK W. HUNT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1120 Liberty Building

Buffalo, New York 14202 - (716) 853-5600

Ms. Tuhovak informed you to amplify that comment

Okay. Is there anything that

12:40:21 22

12:40:26 23

Q.

12:40:36 1 for you?

4

5

6

9

12:40:47

12:40:52

12:40:59

12:41:03

12:41:05

12:41:10

12:41:12 10

12:41:15 11

12:41:17 12

12:41:25 13

12:41:29 14

12:41:34 15

12:41:37 16

12:41:39 17

12:41:40 18

12:41:45 19

12:41:49 20

12:41:51 21

12:41:51 22

12:41:52 23

- 12:40:42 2 A. I don't recall like the specific 12:40:45 3 examples that she gave me, but...
  - Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that not the next point but the one under that may exemplify

    Ms. Tuhovak's point? It seems like this note says

    HR should have contacted students prior to first

    meeting with Mike to let them know that they should

    not be in the lab?
  - A. Yes, to let them know when they should not be in the lab.
  - Q. So Ms. Tuhovak had a complaint about a lack of notice regarding the first meeting with Dr. Noonan and Dr. McCarthy and Ms. Walleshauser?
  - A. I don't know the details of who was at that meeting. I know Dr. McCarthy was there.
    - Q. Okay.
  - A. But, yes, she was definitely upset that she didn't know about the meeting ahead of time.
  - Q. And could have been in the lab, correct?
    - A. Correct.
    - MR. D'ANTONIO: Object to the form.